

# DESIGN WITH AMD SEE HOW YOUR NEXT DATA CENTER CAN PAY FOR ITSELF

Deciding what goes inside your next server is critical.  
Choosing the right **AMD CPUs and GPUs** for your workloads can  
increase performance and drastically reduce operating costs.

Choose the right hardware  
and save over the life of  
your next data center

## CPU

CPU drive hardware efficiency  
gains for enterprise workloads<sup>1</sup>

## GPU

GPU can improve performance-per-watt  
exponentially for AI workloads

## YOU DECIDE:

Yesterday's tech or advanced performance

### LEGACY x86 SERVERS

Yesterday's performance,  
years behind schedule

### AMD EPYC™ CPU-BASED SERVERS

Industry-leading performance  
with headroom for the future

Bottlenecked AI accelerator  
performance

Higher throughput from  
GPU platforms

Ballooning virtual  
machine costs

Lower software licensing costs

Power-hungry servers

Reduced energy bills  
for the life of the data center

Dead ends are built in

Open standards for flexibility

## PROTECT YOUR INVESTMENT

Keep your options open at build time and over the life of your data center.

## HOW DATA CENTERS POWERED BY AMD EPYC HELP PAY FOR THEMSELVES

One new AMD server can do the work of seven older servers<sup>6</sup>

1,000 2P INTEL XEON PLATINUM 8280 SERVERS

127  
5TH GENERATION  
2P AMD EPYC  
9965 SERVERS

UP TO  
**67%**  
LOWER TCO  
OVER FIVE YEARS<sup>7</sup>

UP TO  
**68%**  
LESS ENERGY  
CONSUMPTION<sup>8</sup>

UP TO  
**87%**  
FEWER SERVERS<sup>9</sup>

Choose to upgrade to AMD EPYC CPUs and save millions  
in energy and software costs over five years<sup>10</sup>

HARDWARE SAVINGS: \$871,417

POWER SAVINGS: \$219,835

VIRTUALIZATION SOFTWARE LICENSING SAVINGS: \$6,944,000

TOTAL FIVE-YEAR SAVINGS: \$8,035,252

Initial cost for AMD EPYC CPU-powered servers: \$2,218,671

FIVE-YEAR ROI: **\$5,816,581 – 2.6X INITIAL CAPEX**

Estimated costs compare 69 2P AMD EPYC 9575F CPU-powered Servers to 100 Intel Xeon 8592  
CPU-powered servers delivering equivalent total performance.

## REMEMBER:

You decide what goes inside

Never settle. Dig into the hardware details and work with your server manufacturer  
to choose the right AMD EPYC CPU-based server solutions for your workloads.

1. SPECrate™2017\_int\_base comparison based on published scores from [www.spec.org](https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/) as of 10/10/2024. 2P AMD EPYC 9965 (3100 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base, 384 Total Cores, 500W TDP, \$14,813 CPU \$), 6-200 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU W, 0-200 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU \$ (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q4/cpu2017-20241004-44879.html>). 2P AMD EPYC 9755 (2720 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base, 256 Total Cores, 500W TDP, \$12,984 CPU \$), 5-440 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU W, 0-209 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU \$ (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q4/cpu2017-20240923-44824.html>). 2P AMD EPYC 9754 (1950 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base, 256 Total Cores, 360W TDP, \$11,900 CPU \$), 5-417 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU W, 0-164 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU \$ (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2023q2/cpu2017-20230522-36617.html>). 2P AMD EPYC 9654 (1810 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base, 192 Total Cores, 360W TDP, \$11,805 CPU \$), 5-028 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU W, 0-153 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU \$ (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q1/cpu2017-20240129-40836.html>). 2P Intel Xeon Platinum 8592+ (1130 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base, 128 Total Cores, 350W TDP, \$11,600 CPU \$) 3-229 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU W, 0-097 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU \$ (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2023q4/cpu2017-20231127-40064.html>). 2P Intel Xeon 8700E (4400 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base, 288 Total Cores, 330W TDP, \$11,350 CPU \$) 4-273 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU W, 0-124 SPECrate™2017\_int\_base/CPU \$ (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q3/cpu2017-20240311-44408.html>). SPECrate™, SPEC CPU™, and SPECrate™ are registered trademarks of the Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation. See [www.spec.org](https://www.spec.org) for more information. Intel CPU TDP at <https://ark.intel.com/>. (9xx5-002E)

2. Ibid.

3. Llama3.1-70B inference throughput results based on AMD internal testing as of 09/01/2024. Llama3.1-70B configurations: TensorRT-LLM 0.9.0, nvldia/cuda 12.5.0, devel-ubuntu22.04, FP8, Input/Output token configurations (use cases): [B5-1024 I/O-128/128, B5-1024 I/O-128/2048, B5-96 I/O-2048/128, B5-64 I/O-2048/2048]. Results in tokens/second. 2P AMD EPYC 9575F (128 Total Cores) with 8x NVIDIA H100 80GB HBM3, 1.5TB 24x64GB DDR5-6000, 1.0 Gbps 3TB Micron\_9300\_MTFDHAL3T8TDP NVMe®, BIOS T20240805173113 (Determinism=Power,SR-IOV=On), Ubuntu 22.04.3 LTS, kernel=5.15.0-117-generic (mitigations=off, cpupower frequency-set -g performance, cpupower idle-set -d 2, echo 3 > /proc/sys/vm/drop\_caches), 2P Intel Xeon Platinum 8592+ (128 Total Cores) with 8x NVIDIA H100 80GB HBM3, 1TB 16x64GB DDR5-5600, 3.2TB Dell Ent NVMe® PM1735a MU, Ubuntu 22.04.3 LTS, kernel=5.15.0-118-generic, (processor.max\_cstate=1, intel\_idle.max\_cstate=0, mitigations=off, cpupower frequency-set -g performance, BIOS 2.1, (Maximum performance, SR-IOV=On), I/O Tokens Batch Size EMR Turin Relative Difference 128/128 1024 814.678 1101.966 1.353 287.288 128/2048 1024 2120.664 2331.776 1.211 112.2048/128 96 114.954 145.187 1272.31 233 2048/2048 64 333.325 354.208 1.063 20.833 For average throughput increase of 1.19%. When scaling to a 1000 node cluster (1 node = 2 CPUs and 8 GPUs) comparing the AMD EPYC 9575F system and Intel Xeon 8592+ system: 128/128 achieves 287.288 more tokens/s, 128/2048 achieves 211.112 more tokens/s, 2048/128 achieves 31.233 more tokens/s, 2048/2048 achieves 20.833 more tokens/s. Results may vary due to factors including system configurations, software versions and BIOS settings. (9xx5-014A)

4. This scenario contains many assumptions and estimates and, while based on AMD internal research and best approximations, should be considered an example for information purposes only, and not used as a basis for decision making over actual testing. The AMD Server & Greenhouse Gas Emissions TCO (total cost of ownership) Estimator Tool - version 1.3, compares the selected AMD EPYC™ and Intel® Xeon® CPU based server solutions required to deliver a TOTAL PERFORMANCE of 391000 units of SPECrate™2017\_int\_base performance as of November 21, 2024. This estimation compares upgrading from a legacy 2P Intel Xeon 28 Core Platinum\_8280 based server with a score of 391 (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2020q3/cpu2017-20200915-23984.pdf>) versus 2P EPYC 9555 (128C) powered server with a score of 1630 (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q4/cpu2017-20241104-45226.pdf>). Environmental impact estimates made leveraging this data, using the Country / Region specific electricity factors from Country Specific Electricity Factors - 2024, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator. For additional details, see <https://www.amd.com/en/legal/claims/epyc.html#q-9xx5STCO-006>. (9xx5STCO-006)

5. Ibid.

6. This scenario contains many assumptions and estimates and, while based on AMD internal research and best approximations, should be considered an example for information purposes only, and not used as a basis for decision making over actual testing. The AMD Server & Greenhouse Gas Emissions TCO (total cost of ownership) Estimator Tool - version 1.3, compares the selected AMD EPYC™ and Intel® Xeon® CPU based server solutions required to deliver a TOTAL PERFORMANCE of 391000 units of SPECrate™2017\_int\_base performance as of October 10, 2024. This estimation compares a legacy 2P Intel Xeon 28 Core Platinum\_8280 based server with a score of 391 versus 2P EPYC 9965 (192C) powered server with a score of 3000 (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q4/cpu2017-20240923-44837.pdf>) along with a comparison upgrade to a 2P Intel Xeon Platinum 8592+ (64C) based server with a score of 1130 (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q3/cpu2017-20240701-43948.pdf>). Actual SPECrate™2017\_int\_base score for 2P EPYC 9965 will vary based on OEM publications. Environmental impact estimates made leveraging this data, using the Country/ Region specific electricity factors from the 2024 International Country Specific Electricity Factors - 2024, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator. For additional details, see <https://www.amd.com/en/legal/claims/epyc.html#q-9xx5STCO-002a>. (9xx5STCO-002a)

7. Ibid.

8. Ibid.

9. Ibid.

10. This scenario contains many assumptions and estimates and, while based on AMD internal research and best approximations, should be considered an example for information purposes only, and not used as a basis for decision making over actual testing. The AMD Server & Greenhouse Gas Emissions TCO (total cost of ownership) Estimator Tool - version 1.3, compares the selected AMD EPYC™ and Intel® Xeon® CPU based server solutions required to deliver a TOTAL PERFORMANCE of 113000 units of SPECrate™2017\_int\_base performance as of January 6, 2025. This estimation compares a 2P Intel Xeon 64 Core Platinum\_8592, based server with a SPECrate™2017\_int\_base score of 1130, (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2023q4/cpu2017-20231127-40064.pdf>), versus 2P EPYC 9575F (64C) powered server with a score of 1640 (<https://www.spec.org/cpu2017/results/res2024q4/cpu2017-20241104-45229.pdf>). Environmental impact estimates made leveraging this data, using the Country / Region specific electricity factors from Country Specific Electricity Factors - 2024, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator. For additional details, see <https://www.amd.com/en/legal/claims/epyc.html#q-9xx5STCO-010>. (9xx5STCO-010)